

Quotes From  
Antagonistic  
Witnesses





# Testimony From Friendly Witness



# Testimony From Friendly Witness

“The Accused Is Honest”  
“No Reasonable Motive”




# Testimony From Antagonistic Witness



# Testimony From **Antagonistic** Witness

“The Accused Is Honest”  
“No Reasonable Motive”



Friendly Testimony

Acknowledgements  
Contrary To  
Interest

From Devout  
Believers  
(In Evolution)

Quotes From  
Antagonistic  
Witnesses

Men of Great  
Faith  
(In Evolution)



# They Just Don't Get It

John Blanton and Jeff Umbarger

“Where we have been able to crosscheck the citations against the actual text, we have noted that the classic out-of-context stunt is being pulled to **make it appear that mainstream science supports the MIOS view.**”

Newsletter of the *North Texas Skeptics*

Volume 6 Number 5, May 1992



# They Just Don't Get It

John Blanton and Jeff Umbarger

“**Radiometric dating** methods, says Don Patton for example, are just **about worthless, even according to anti-creationist scientists** such as William D. Stansfield, author of *Science of Evolution*.”

Newsletter of the *North Texas Skeptics*

Volume 6 Number 5, May 1992



# Actual Patton Handout

Dr. Stansfield's "Answer:"

“All the above **methods** for dating the age of the earth [**indicating young**], its various strata, and its fossils are **questionable...**”



# Actual Patton Handout

Dr. Stansfield's "Answer:"

“All the above methods for dating the age of the earth [indicating young], its various strata, and its fossils are questionable...

A method that appears to have **much greater reliability** for determining absolute ages of rocks is that of **radiometric dating**.”



# They Just Don't Get It

John Blanton and Jeff Umbarger

**“Perhaps it was our mistake but** on listening to the MIOS presentation, we felt the speaker was telling us that a representative of mainstream science was reporting in a reputable journal that radiometric dating in general and the uranium-lead analysis in particular is fraught with such perilous assumptions as to render it completely unreliable.”

Newsletter of the *North Texas Skeptics*

Volume 6 Number 5, May 1992



# They Just Don't Get It

John Blanton and Jeff Umbarger

**“Perhaps it was our mistake but on listening to the MIOS presentation, we felt the speaker was telling us that a representative of mainstream science was reporting in a reputable journal that radiometric dating in general and the uranium-lead analysis in particular is fraught with such perilous assumptions as to render it completely unreliable.”**

Newsletter of the *North Texas Skeptics*

Volume 6 Number 5, May 1992



# They Just Don't Get It

John Blanton and Jeff Umbarger

“Perhaps it was our mistake but on listening to the MIOS presentation, we felt the speaker was telling us that a representative of mainstream science was **reporting in a reputable journal that radiometric dating** in general and the uranium-lead analysis in particular is fraught with such perilous assumptions as to render it **completely unreliable.**”

Newsletter of the *North Texas Skeptics*

Volume 6 Number 5, May 1992

